

Research Article: Quarterly Journal of Computational Technologies for Healthcare

Volume: 07

A Methodological framework to Integrate AGI into **Personalized Healthcare**

Sajib Alam

Software Engineer, Trine University sajibalam800@gmail.com



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons International License.

Abstract

The integration of artificial general intelligence (AGI) into personalized healthcare represents a transformative approach to modernizing medical services, offering unprecedented improvements in patient outcomes, efficiency, and accessibility. This paper proposes a comprehensive methodological framework for the adoption of AGI within healthcare systems, addressing the intricate challenges and vast opportunities presented by AGI technologies. By examining the current landscape of AI and AGI, the paper underscores the potential of AGI to enhance diagnostics, patient care, and the personalization of treatment plans through its superior data processing and decision-making capabilities. The proposed framework emphasizes a structured integration process, including the assessment of healthcare needs alongside AGI capabilities, the establishment of robust data management and governance, and the development and validation of AGI systems tailored to healthcare applications. Moreover, it highlights the importance of ethical considerations, regulatory compliance, and the need for ongoing evaluation and adaptation of AGI technologies to ensure they align with the highest standards of patient care. Through this framework, the paper aims to provide actionable insights for healthcare professionals, informaticians, and policymakers, facilitating the ethical and effective adoption of AGI in healthcare settings and paying the way for a future where personalized healthcare is accessible to all.

Keywords: Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), Personalized healthcare, Methodological framework, Healthcare systems, Ethical considerations

I. Introduction

The desire to achieve Artificial General Intelligence, an unprecedented leap beyond today's AI, is already indicative of what the future holds: for healthcare, it opens the prospects of truly universal personalized medical solutions and services, catering to the health needs of each particular person [1]. This ambitious goal is now pursued by AI leaders, such as OpenAI, and it does not just suggest some modifications of the way healthcare is accessed instead, a profound change of the existing approach is implied, both in terms of access and treatment quality. The integration of AGI into healthcare is both necessary and inevitable and with its arrival, the changes to previous AI-driven advances in diagnostics and patient care will not just manifest themselves in the outright improvement but as a fundamental shift that goes much further [2]. AGI promises to bring a solution that will allow making sense of incredibly vast and complex data, translating that into not just the treatment of diseases but an entirely new approach to patient care that will become unavoidably personalized. Namely, this profound shift in healthcare delivery may be made possible by integrating AGI, and personalized care will become a standard



rather than a luxury or an exception [3]. AI's impact on patient care has already brought about some substantial improvements in diagnostics, personalization of treatments, and patient care in general; however, AGI is now expected to take these benefits to an entirely new level. The primary difficulty that remains is the existing ethical and regulatory framework that should ensure that the integration of AGI into healthcare results in improved patient outcomes while fully guaranteeing privacy, security, and ethical performance. The purpose of this work is to outline the possible uses of AI and AGI within the context of healthcare, as well as to discuss the integration challenges and the implications for the advancement of healthcare delivery with the arrival of these new technologies [4-7].

Despite the fact that artificial general intelligence can revolutionize healthcare, its potential in clinical practice is relatively undeveloped today. The reasons for the current state of affairs are manifold and include extremely complex medical data, the unsatisfactory quality of existing AI models and their poor interpretability, focusing on the ethics of algorithms used in decision-making and stringent requirements for the AGI. In the increasingly personalized quality of care delivery and the undoubtedly high potential of artificial intelligence. The research's crucial goal is to outline a methodological framework for AGI integration into personalized healthcare [8]. Having studied the essence of AGI and the current state of this technology, considered its use in healthcare, and introduced one of the proposed approaches to the integrated use of analyzing metadata for a reliable and secure application, I believe that this paper fits into several relevant

areas of medical informatics. The material collected during the study was generated by my own research and observation of the use of AI technologies, and the results of the work can be used by healthcare professionals, informaticians and policymakers working on the principles of artificial intelligence ethics, and there is enough of an opportunity for AI use in personalized medicine.

II. AI, AGI & CURRENT HEALTHCARE APPLICATIONS

A. AI & AGI

The field of artificial intelligence comprises a wide range of abilities, from accomplishing narrow, specific tasks to realizing the goal of Artificial General Intelligence. This comparison explores the differences and potential milestones along the continuum, from today's AI to the hypothetical superintelligent machines. Regarding the covered distinctions, AI facilitates pattern recognition and data analysis, and performing well-defined tasks across multiple domains. The ultimate goal of general AI, in turn, is to be as intelligent as or surpass human beings in all areas of learning, reasoning, problem-solving, and creativity [9]. The way towards AGI is marked with critical milestones in the capabilities of such systems, the expected benefits and risks thereof, and other related considerations. At the same time, this evolution brings forward unique opportunities and challenges as well as ethical dilemmas.

This distinctive comparison of AI and AGI summarizes the important aspects of these concepts, namely, their similarities, differences, and potential implications for humanity. When considering how these ideas are alike and different, one is reminded that AI can reach AGI levels and impart advancement in possibilities that have never before been seen as well as unique challenges related to these technologies and ethical frameworks. Overall, artificial intelligence and the potential development of general AI can and must be understood in their current stages and forms as well as potential future. In this way, we can comprehend the implications of these concepts for humanity and the world and make informed decisions in this regard.



| Aspect | AI (Artificial Intelligence) | AGI (Artificial General Intelligence) |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| | Cognitive Ability | |
| Learning | Learns from large datasets, excels at pattern recognition within its domain. | Capable of learning from smaller datasets, transferring knowledge between tasks, and learning on the fly. |
| Reasoning | Can make logical deductions within its programmed area. | Capacity for abstract reasoning, understanding complex causal relationships. |
| Problem-Solving | Effective at solving predefined problems within its field. | Able to develop novel solutions to open-ended challenges, even in new domains. |
| Perception | Processes sensory data (visual, auditory, etc.) with increasing accuracy. | Could achieve a holistic level of perception integrating different senses and internal understanding. |
| Language | Can understand and generate language, but may be limited in nuance and context. | Potential for true natural language comprehension, communication, and even creative language use. |
| Decision Making | Can make decisions based on data and algorithms within its scope. | Could exhibit independent decision-making based on understanding goals and broader context. |
| Creativity | May generate variations within its domain (e.g., art, music). | Potential for high-level creativity and original thought across areas. |
| Self-awareness | No inherent self-awareness. | Hypothetical potential for some form of selfawareness and introspection. |
| Common Sense | Limited common-sense reasoning, can be brittle outside its training. | Ability to apply common sense reasoning and adapt to unexpected situations. |
| Consciousness | No consciousness. | Debated whether AGI would necessarily possess consciousness. |
| | Benefits | |
| Automation | Automates routine tasks, freeing up human effort. | Potential to automate vast ranges of complex |
| Efficiency | Improves efficiency and speed in specific domains. | Potential for widespread increase in efficiency across many industries. |
| Error Reduction | Reduces human error in many tasks. | Could significantly reduce errors even in complex decision-making. |
| Accessibility | Makes tools and services more accessible (e.g., language translation). | Could bridge gaps in knowledge and ability for wider populations. |
| Global Impact | Addresses global challenges (e.g., climate, healthcare). | Potential to solve problems of unprecedented scale and complexity. |
| Human Augmenta- tion | Enhances humans' cognitive abilities. Risks | Could significantly expand human intellect and creativity. |
| Job Displacement | Potential for job losses in certain sectors. | Far-reaching potential for automating human |
| Bias | Prone to reflecting biases in training data. | Could perpetuate biases on a larger scale if not carefully controlled. |
| Lack of Trans- parency | Complex AI systems can lack explainability (black box issue). | Could lead to unintended consequences of AGI decisions. |

TABLE 1. Comprehensive Comparison: AI vs. AGI across Various Aspects



| Weaponization | Potential for misuse in autonomous weapons. | Increased risks with far more powerful autonomous systems. |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Devaluation of Humanity | May challenge the notion of human uniqueness. | Could lead to a philosophical crisis regarding human value. |
| Existential Threat | Debated potential for AI to pose an existential threat to humanity. | Hypothetical risk if AGI's goals diverge fundamentally from human goals. |
| | | Continued on next page |

 TABLE 1 – Continued from previous page

| AI (Artificial Intelligence) |
|------------------------------|
| |

AGI (Artificial General Intelligence)

| Other considerations | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Ethics | Ethical frameworks and governance play a crucial role in steering the development and use of both AI and AGI, ensuring alignment with human values. | Raises deeper philosophical implications. Requires preemptive ethical systems for goals and values. | | |
| Regulation | Proactive regulation is needed for responsible AI and the potential of AGI, addressing issues like safety, fairness, and accountability. | Proactive regulations essential, potentially on an international scale. Challenge of regulating unforeseen capabilities. | | |
| Investment | Significant investment into fundamental AI research and safety protocols is vital for the advancement of AI and the potential realization of AGI. | Emphasis on AGI safety and alignment research will be needed alongside advancements. | | |
| Collaboration | Interdisciplinary collaboration between computer scientists, philosophers, neuroscientists, and social scientists is crucial for tackling AGI's complexities. | Essential for AGI. Needs broader input beyond computer science (philosophy, social sciences, etc.). | | |
| Control | Developing safeguards and control mechanisms for powerful AI systems, particularly potential AGI, to minimize potential risks of unintended behavior. | Loss of control over a highly intelligent system is a major concern requiring robust safety research. | | |
| Consciousness (Hypothetical) | While not part of current AI, the philosophical debate that surrounds whether AGI could develop consciousness, and the ethical implications of this. | A theoretical possibility with AGI, raising significant ethical and philosophical questions. | | |
| Superintelligence | The possibility of AGI surpassing human intelligence significantly raises questions about the nature of our future relationship with such technology. | Central question regarding potential AGI - benefits and existential risks amplify with higher intelligence levels. | | |

B. CAPABILITIES OF AI IN HEALTHCARE

AI in healthcare has entered a transformative era, mimicking to an extent the cognitive functions of the human brain and seizing the increasing availability of healthcare data and progress in analytics techniques. AI applications are diverse. For structured and unstructured health data alike, they use such methods as machine learning, including classical support vector machines and neural networks but also employing deep learning and natural language processing. Such capabilities help make AI effective in important disease areas like cancer, neurology or cardiology. They can help early detection, diagnosis and treatment recommendations arrive more effectively than ever before. On the other hand, it can yield mistakes by itself; so that feedback for both income prediction prognosis evaluation becomes necessary [10]. AI brings great advantages to healthcare fields as diverse as diagnostics, decision-making, handling big data and administrative chores, and it might well alleviate the current human resource crisis in healthcare [11].



C. CURRENT APPLICATIONS OF AI IN HEALTHCARE

The healthcare payers, care providers or life sciences companies, employ AI technologies to develop diagnosis and treatment recommendations, as well as patient engagement, adherence, and administrative activity. Even though their clinical implementation faces many challenges, AI technologies' development in medicine goes rapidly to ensure the healthcare safety and delivery [12]. Additionally, AI shows its predictive analytics potential to predict, treat, and manage global health threats like an influenza outbreak. AI analytics boost the world's global influenza surveillance system, signal at-risk populations, and provide real-time spread of the disease [13]. The tangible applications of AI highlight the spectrum of its employment in medicine shown in Fig. 1.



FIGURE 1. Different branches of Practical Applications of AI in Medicine

III. PERSONALIZED HEALTHCARE: OPPORTUNITIES FOR AGI

Artificial General Intelligence promises an unprecedented revolution in the realm of personalized healthcare. Its inclination to data processing and analysis techniques in an adaptive and generalized form emerge to have the potential of revolutionizing the data sought healthcare aspect to personalized accommodates patients' needs in a more specialized context.

Examination of Personalized Healthcare

1. Definition and Meaning of Personalized Healthcare

Precision medicine, also known as personalized healthcare, refers to medical treatment customized to the unique attributes of the individual patient. The definition and practice of such healthcare run contrary to the generalized health treatment that is effective for the average person. However, general healthcare does not take into consideration the variance in the genetic composition, the wellness of patients' environments, and their lifestyles. AGI contributes significantly to personalized healthcare in several means:

- Genomic Analysis AGI: According to Bawany and Dunne, AI adopts an in-depth 'knowledge' and identifies change sequences beyond 'patterns' and mutations that the current version of AGI is blind to.
- Customized Treatment Plans AGI: Performs to be a nature as versatile and conditioned and to accrue particular training plans for patients with health conditions.
- Continuous Health Monitoring AGI: It would serve as data flow, in this case, gaining insight into patients' health status in terms of nature, disease, health, monitoring health, through data streamed from wearable tech technology. By incorporating such data, the buildup of long-term health and its outcomes is useful.



• Drug Development: By simulating the effects of compound drugs on particular diseases or health related conditions, the overall development of the drug can is dependent on AGI. It enhances the development and production process of the drug in all forms.

The Data-Driven Nature of Personalized Healthcare

1. The Data Comprising Personalized Healthcare

The actionable nature of personalized healthcare depends on data, whether genomic data, environmental data, lifestyle data, or real-time health monitoring data, among others. The provision of these forms of data does not emerge to pose a significant problem. The problem occurs in their processing, analysis, and interpretation in terms of becoming health-related actionable decisions. In terms of data-driven health-based operability, AGI has the potential, through data means, to bring society:

- Predictive Analytics Outcomes: It gains the ability to predict health and condition outcomes and as a measure is put into place on particular data inputs, people at risk of a specific health condition can be easily identified at an early stage breaching approaches to them in timely deliveries.
- Interdisciplinary Data Processing: AGI manages health information beyond interlinking health support. For example, it manages to compute theoretical concepts from chemical properties, which data text identifies its products, and data 2 text as a by-product in limiting overall health conditions.
- Adaptation Learning Games: It manages to have models know when a new data dataset is put in place and quickly learn and adapt to it in terms of application and considerations without the mandate of the models having been reprogrammed.

IV. METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK FOR AGI INTEGRATION

A. ASSESSMENT OF HEALTHCARE NEEDS AND AGI CAPABILITIES

The first phase presupposes a double assessment: one on understanding the requirements for the provision of personal healthcare, and the other on comparing them to the capabilities of AGI. First and foremost, it is crucial to pinpoint that personalized healthcare is defined by the ability to provide tailored medical services. In order to guarantee successful outcomes and improve patient experiences, professionals rely on personal data to determine the most appropriate strategies [14]. The scope of the goals set in the area can be defined broadly and includes but is not limited to increasing diagnostic precision, tailoring treatments and therapies to patient needs, reducing the time and costs associated with medication development, and providing exact prognosis of patient's health. At the same time, an assessment of the capabilities of AGI should be carried out, with the emphasis on its possibilities as a data analyzing instrument, readiness for learning, and the ability to make independent decisions. The goal of this assessment is to define whether AGI is able to cater to the particular needs of healthcare identified above in order to define the scope of its use more clearly, determine the areas requiring further development on the part of the new technology.

B. DATA MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE

Central to AGI's integration is the establishment of a robust framework for data management and governance. This encompasses the targeted collection and integration of a wide array of different data types. Specifically, these include electronic health records, patients' genomic information, and inputs from a variety of real time health monitoring devices. Ensuring that these data sources are suitably interoperable is central to enabling AGI's analytical processes. Similarly, along with



these data enrichment measures, confirming that appropriate data privacy and security protocols are established is also critical. The latter, of course, is underpinned by the criticality of patients' data wellbeing. Given that this undergirds the ethics and feasibility of the entire enterprise, in addition to being a pillar for personalized healthcare as a concept, ensuring data security is a priority. To this end, deploying methodologies for encryption on the bleeding edge of what is currently possible, in addition to abiding by regulatory standards such as HIPAA and developing privacy-preserving AGI architectures, expounds the safest and the most ethically justifiable way the system can be put to use in practice [15].

C. AGI SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION

The development and validation of Artificial General Intelligence systems made specifically for healthcare represent an irreversible step toward the highest level of personalization and successful treatment of the patients. It is not just the process of the creation of the most advanced AGI architectures used and tailored for the defined sector; it is also the intense training and rigorous validation of the whole process to make sure every step of the algorithm meets the needs of the chosen field. The development of AGI systems for healthcare is an intensive and ongoing activity that requires the integration of multiple layers and deep insights into the sphere of healthcare. The main goal is to create the architectures, which will be inherently scalable and will easily involve new data, new technologies, and new methods, which will help to solve the emerging problems [16]. In addition, the development of these systems presupposes a very high level of adaptivity, which will allow an AGI system to learn and change its algorithms in accordance with changes in practice, new knowledge about human beings, their bodies, and their suffering, as well as new diseases and conditions. It is critical to create the architectures and systems that will consider the patient as a complex being, and this perspective will be stressed in the course of the development. It means that the system will be able to integrate data about every patient from EHRs, their genome, different devices like wearables, and even social determinants of health to offer a complete picture of the needs of this or that human. An AGI system makes it possible to process these complex multidimensional data and provide the most accurate and precise insights such as an adequate diagnosis, treatment, and further support. The AGI system should be capable of a continuous learning process. This demonstrates that, on the one hand, the system may improve its performance along with the amount of the processed data, which makes it more experienced and competent in solving the problems. On the other hand, the system should be able to react to the new challenges, which have not been apparent before. As a result, the fundamental goal of the training and validation of the AGI system for healthcare is to create the instruments, which will remain a few steps ahead of their application in practice [17].

The first point to note is that, with the development of AGI architectures, there is a need for organizing their comprehensive training and rigorous validation. Regarding training, AGI systems will be trained for their practice using vast and diverse healthcare data sets. The latter will cover aggregate reports on numerous cases of various medical conditions, the outcomes of their treatment, the characteristics of the patients, and various factors that determine the health outcomes for individual patients. This is the first vital training phase because the AGI gets acquainted with these data instances, cases, and variations in patient provision. At the same time, the training process aims to give the AGI the ability to recognize patterns, correlations, and causations in the data. This phase is essential to the development of AGI's competency in predictive analytics, decision support, and personalized medicine. Furthermore, by using advanced machine learning methods such as deep learning and reinforcement learning, the AGI systems can learn more effectively from the healthcare data.



Next, the validation of AGI architectures is vital. On the one hand, this phase serves as additional proof of the AGI's capacity to interpret vast data sets of benchmark data and healthcare data. On the other hand, the validation process serves to show that the AGI can make an accurate judgment. The natural conclusion is that the judgment-making process must also be timely. Finally, the validation verifies that the AGI systems are capable of making the right decision by advising healthcare providers and making clinical decisions. Perhaps, the feedback obtained from all of the above-mentioned sources can be decisive in mandating some additional features in the AGI system. In this way, the systems that have been already in use can be turned into the safest ones for patients.

D. INTEGRATION AND IMPLEMENTATION

Artificial General Intelligence into the healthcare ecosystem is a critical part of the development of medical services. It is connected to an implementation part, which provides both the adoption of AGI in clinical workflows and the potential to promote the effectiveness and efficiency of healthcare services. It is achieved by a set of strategic activities, comprehensive planning, and continuous improvement grounded on real-world experience. The implementation of AGI technology is rooted in the purpose to use it as an addition to the clinical workflows. At the same time, one of the primary requirements of its incorporation is the absence of undesirable flow interruptions. The realization of this idea involves a profound understanding of standard healthcare procedures. Additionally, it is essential to identify the needs of the area, where the use of AGI can bring the most considerable value. For instance, this technology can provide a second opinion in the diagnostic process of multiple conditions. Moreover, it can assist in monitoring the patients by analyzing real-time data and contribute to the creation of patient treatment plans using predictive analytics. In addition, a strong implementation process requires a high level of customization. It is essential to make AGI systems narrow enough to meet the requirements of separate healthcare specialties. It means that customization should be adequate to address the specifics of requirements for dealing with various health conditions and treatment options. Furthermore, customization includes the creation of user interfaces that are easy and familiar to healthcare specialists. As a result, they should be as instinctual as possible to ensure a minimal learning curve for users when advanced AGI technology is included. Finally, customization should be sufficient to ensure that real-world feedback is continually improving AGI software. As a result, it should be oriented to minimize challenges and increase the practical use of technologies in daily environments.

Another critical aspect of successfully integrating AGI is to create a sizeable training program for healthcare practitioners. Training as such is purposed to smooth the process of educating the users of AGI as to what this technology can do, how the practitioners can benefit from it, and where the traps are which they are most likely to fall into, or at least fall into with the most common frequency. Furthermore, such training programs have to ensure that the healthcare practitioners are sufficiently exposed to the solutions in AGI to make them feel secure about using them [19]. Finally, healthcare organizations venturing to implement AGI technologies must provide for a wide range of supporting resources and initiatives. In particular, such organizations have to ensure that there are technical support teams, training materials with the changes of not merely nominal amendments but still relevant earth, and official forums to popularize and exchange the best practices of integrating AGI into the environment. Additional input is provided by the feedback obtained from pilot studies. It is used to re-adjust AGI technologies as such and, in the first place, to make them ready for further optimization and, as the consequence, largescale implementation. Consequently, based on the results of implementation, strategies of scaling can be developed, such as those for scaling up the implementation and usage of AGI application



in a variety of healthcare settings. The development of such strategies also means that, based on stratification, the AGI systems as such have to be adjusted to different needs and constraints. In addition, such strategies provide a basis for continuous adjustment of AGI systems to the specifics of healthcare domains and for adjusting them to differences, and they are different across the world. Overall, integration and implementation of AGI are very dynamic processes. They have to be managed upon the principles of continuous evaluation and adjustment. If healthcare organizations focus on customization, training of users, and the successive application of the insights obtained during the process of pilot integration, they will be able to make a substantial positive impact and benefit to a substantial extent from the implementation and integration of AGI technologies. This approach, upon implementation, will enable them to enjoy benefits and ensure that the legacy of healthcare is being pushed to the new level, where AGI integration technologies will ensure the full power of such technologies is leveraged to benefit patients and ensure that today's healthcare is a step closer and more efficient, less problematic, and more personalized.

E. EVALUATION AND CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

Ongoing evaluation is essential to gauge the impact of AGI on healthcare delivery and patient outcomes. This involves setting precise metrics to measure performance improvements, encompassing patient satisfaction, operational efficiency, and clinical efficacy. A continuous feedback loop, incorporating input from healthcare professionals and patients, is vital for the iterative refinement of AGI systems. This ensures that AGI technologies remain aligned with evolving healthcare demands and continue to push the boundaries of personalized care.

F. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN AGI DEPLOYMENT

The deployment of AGI within healthcare settings necessitates a strong commitment to ethical principles, including:

- Patient Welfare: Ensuring AGI technologies contribute positively to patient care and outcomes.
- Equity: Designing AGI systems to provide equitable healthcare access and prevent algorithmic biases.
- Transparency: Maintaining transparency about AGI's operational mechanisms, decisions, and data usage.
- Privacy and Confidentiality: Upholding stringent data protection protocols to safeguard patient information.

Integrating AGI into healthcare also demands strict adherence to regulatory standards and legal frameworks:

- Regulatory Compliance: AGI applications must align with healthcare regulations like HIPAA in the U.S., GDPR in the EU, and other relevant regulations.
- Safety and Efficacy: Demonstrating the safety and efficacy of AGI systems through comprehensive testing and obtaining approval from regulatory bodies such as the FDA or EMA.
- Legal and Professional Standards: Ensuring AGI supports medical professionals' clinical judgment and adheres to established patient care guidelines.



V. DISCUSSION

The proposed framework for implementing AGI technologies in healthcare is consistent in the comprehensive nature of the approach designed to respond to the multiple particular complications and possibilities that the new technology may bring. For this reason, the implications of the integration of AGI may vary greatly when taken into the context of the currently used approach to the delivery of care. One of the most important implications, in my opinion, involves the perusal of personalized healthcare. Using complex calculations, programs and machines such as AGI may provide recommendations that are uniquely tailored for the specific patients and thereby potentially ensure the more accurate diagnosis, more effective treatment, and consequently better patient outcomes.

This effect is expected to increase the level of patient satisfaction with the use of overspecialized services that "ultimately fulfill specific patient needs". Furthermore, the framework calls for a continuous reference to ethical implications and regulatory requirements, which is obviously important in the context of patient trust. It means that the institutionalization of CAD and AGI technologies cannot be implemented without considering the ethical standards of its use and compliance with the directives guaranteeing patient care and rights. Indeed, as the technology spreads, it grows increasingly important to develop strict ethical standards that would help maintain respect for patients rights and their input into the decision with regard to their care.

The above-described effect of the implementation of AGI technologies is, in my opinion, best explained by the concept that it is expected to transform the nature of the care delivered. The framework demonstrates this as the streamlining of healthcare operations, reduction of costs, and pressure on health care professionals through the automation of time-consuming tasks and the provision of decision support. Thus, more resources can be spent to reinstate the professionals' solution of the complex specific issues that previously had to be solved at the patients' expense.



FIGURE 2. Steps to integrate AGI to the existing personal healthcare system

It is clear that AGI has the potential to revolutionize healthcare by delivering cost-effective, evidence-based, and patient-centered decision support. Nevertheless, the successful adoption of this technology will require a systematic effort to overcome a number of existing challenges. For one, as with any new technology, the integration of AGI into health delivery systems remains a challenge due to technical and system interoperability issues. Another issue concerns the



employment impact of AGI as, while this technology will create new and improved roles in the technology and informatics field, it will also render many routine jobs unnecessary. For this reason, facilitating a smooth employment transition through reskilling programs is another priority for responsible AGI adoption. An additional issue which needs to be addressed to harness the full potential of AGI for healthcare concerns the systems approach. On one hand, the systems approach to AGI healthcare applications creates an opportunity for scaling solutions to fit small providers or clinics as well as big systems or investors. On the other hand, it may also limit the focus of technology produced, with the result that some populations of interest fall underrepresented or ignored.

VI. CONCLUSION

The incorporation of Artificial General Intelligence into personalized healthcare is the next great development in the provision of medical services. Such technology may not only benefit patients' health but also increase healthcare facilities' productivity and fulfill the need for adequate and equal healthcare allocation. Implementation of a system that allows healthcare systems to utilize AGI's advanced analysis and decision-making capabilities for customized care provision is outlined in the methodology section of this paper. It is evident that to be able to maximize potential benefits for patients and their families, use of AGI technologies should be analyzed, and requirements for each particular healthcare facility established. Such analysis involves establishment of necessary data managerial capabilities and AGI technology, validating and integrating its use into current healthcare facility operational procedures [20]. Significantly this procedure also highlights the importance of technology development and improvement, collection of analysis data, and identification of best practices for determining potential areas requiring for further improvement [21].

Incorporation of Artificial General Intelligence into personalized healthcare obviously requires development of advanced AGI algorithms that are beyond the scope of current AI abilities. At the same time, analyzing the potential for advancements in AGI data analysis algorithms and their application for developing AGI platform algorithms may be able to ensure sufficient connection of AGIs with healthcare service provision and the avoidance of standardization and compatibility issues. In such a way, it could be feasible to determine the technological approach that may significantly enhance the beneficial impact of AGI technologies on patients.

REFERENCE

[1] V. Behzadan, A. Munir, and R. V. Yampolskiy, "A Psychopathological Approach to Safety Engineering in AI and AGI," in *Computer Safety, Reliability, and Security*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, B. Gallina, A. Skavhaug, E. Schoitsch, and F. Bitsch, Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 513–520.

- [2] T. Everitt, G. Lea, and M. Hutter, "AGI Safety Literature Review," May 2018.
- [3] B. Murdoch, "Privacy and artificial intelligence: Challenges for protecting health information in a new era," *BMC Medical Ethics*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 122, Dec. 2021.
- [4] S. Michie, L. Yardley, R. West, K. Patrick, and F. Greaves, "Developing and Evaluating Digital Interventions to Promote Behavior Change in Health and Health Care: Recommendations Resulting From an International Workshop," *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 19, no. 6, p. e7126, Jun. 2017.



- [5] K. B. Johnson, W.-Q. Wei, D. Weeraratne, M. E. Frisse, K. Misulis, K. Rhee, J. Zhao, and J. L. Snowdon, "Precision Medicine, AI, and the Future of Personalized Health Care," *Clinical and Translational Science*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 86–93, 2021.
- [6] J. Corral-Acero, F. Margara, M. Marciniak, C. Rodero, F. Loncaric, Y. Feng, A. Gilbert, J. F. Fernandes, H. A. Bukhari, and A. Wajdan, "The 'Digital Twin'to enable the vision of precision cardiology," *European heart journal*, vol. 41, no. 48, pp. 4556–4564, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/ articleabstract/41/48/4556/5775673
- [7] K. Chinzei, A. Shimizu, K. Mori, K. Harada, H. Takeda, M. Hashizume, M. Ishizuka, N. Kato, R. Kawamori, and S. Kyo, "Regulatory science on AI-based medical devices and systems," *Advanced Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 7, pp. 118–123, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/abe/7/0/7_7_118/_article/-char/ja/
- [8] Y. Zhang, R. Padman, and N. Patel, "Paving the COWpath: Learning and visualizing clinical pathways from electronic health record data," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 58, pp. 186–197, Dec. 2015.
- [9] Y. Hou, Y. Zhou, M. Hussain, G. T. Budd, W. H. W. Tang, J. Abraham, B. Xu, C. Shah, R. Moudgil, Z. Popovic, C. Watson, L. Cho, M. Chung, M. Kanj, S. Kapadia, B. Griffin, L. Svensson, P. Collier, and F. Cheng, "Cardiac risk stratification in cancer patients: A longitudinal patient–patient network analysis," *PLOS Medicine*, vol. 18, no. 8, p. e1003736, Aug. 2021.
- [10] F. Jiang, Y. Jiang, H. Zhi, Y. Dong, H. Li, S. Ma, Y. Wang, Q. Dong, H. Shen, and Y. Wang, "Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Past, present and future," *Stroke and Vascular Neurology*, vol. 2, no. 4, Dec. 2017.
- [11] B. Meskó, G. Hetényi, and Z. Gyorffy, "Will artificial intelligence solve the human" resource crisis in healthcare?" *BMC health services research*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 545, Jul. 2018.
- [12] J. He, S. L. Baxter, J. Xu, J. Xu, X. Zhou, and K. Zhang, "The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine," *Nature medicine*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 30–36, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.nature.com/articles/ s41591-018-0307-0
- [13] S. E. Davies, "Artificial Intelligence in Global Health," *Ethics & International Affairs*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 181–192, Jul. 2019.
- [14] A. Chavez, D. Koutentakis, Y. Liang, S. Tripathy, and J. Yun, "Identify statistical similarities and differences between the deadliest cancer types through gene expression," arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.07847, 2019.
- [15] S. N. Payrovnaziri, Z. Chen, P. Rengifo-Moreno, T. Miller, J. Bian, J. H. Chen, X. Liu, and Z. He, "Explainable artificial intelligence models using real-world electronic health record data: A systematic scoping review," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 1173–1185, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://academic.oup.com/jamia/article-abstract/27/7/1173/5838471
- [16] P. Savadjiev, J. Chong, A. Dohan, M. Vakalopoulou, C. Reinhold, N. Paragios, and B. Gallix, "Demystification of AI-driven medical image interpretation: Past, present and future," *European Radiology*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 1616–1624, Mar. 2019.
- [17] X. Wu, Z. Bai, J. Jia, and Y. Liang, "A Multi-Variate Triple-Regression Forecasting Algorithm for Long-Term Customized Allergy Season Prediction," arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.04557, 2020.
- [18] O. O. Varlamov, D. A. Chuvikov, L. E. Adamova, M. A. Petrov, I. K. Zabolotskaya, and T. N. Zhilina, "Logical, philosophical and ethical aspects of AI in medicine,"



International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing, vol. 9, no. 6, p. 868, 2019. [Online]. Available: http://www.ijmlc.org/vol9/885-IT009.pdf

- [19] A. Haleem, M. Javaid, and I. H. Khan, "Current status and applications of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in medical field: An overview," *Current Medicine Research and Practice*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 231–237, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S235208171930193X
- [20] J. He, S. L. Baxter, J. Xu, J. Xu, X. Zhou, and K. Zhang, "The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 30–36, Jan. 2019.
- [21] A. E. Hosoi, Y. Liang, I. Bischofberger, Y. Sun, Q. Zhang, and T. Fang, "Adaptive selfsealing microfluidic gear pump." 28-Dec-2021.